Minutes of Video Conference conducted on 08.02.2018 with the Districts on Kanyashree Prakalpa

A Video Conference was conducted with all districts to review progress of Implementation of Kanyashree Prakalpa. The main points of discussion were:

a) Uploading/editing /sanction and disbursement status of 2015-16, 2016-17 & 2017-18
b) Issues related to the disbursement.
c) Fund requirement and UC.

1. Considerable number of cases are pending with PFMS, for which sanction & disbursement are delayed/obstructed. This was pointed out by all the districts. They requested for extension of the period for entry of fresh K1/K2 for 2016-17 for incorporation of the cases removed by DPMU on account of mismatch between the names of the applicant with the account holder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sent for Verification</th>
<th>Pending No. (% among the verified cases)</th>
<th>Rejected (% among the verified cases)</th>
<th>Removed By DPMU (Name Mismatch)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016-17</td>
<td>2430047</td>
<td>33470 (1.38)</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>14670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-18</td>
<td>1959780</td>
<td>238831 (12.19)</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pendency status of PFMS for 2016-17 KY & 2017-18 KY is given below:

Also the problems of Blank response, duplicate Aadhaar cases, multiple response are delaying the process of sanction as communicated by the districts.

2. While many issues in IFMS are resolved and disbursement is going on, some cases are still unresolved as communicated by the districts:

i. Birbhum – 4 wrong data files each having 1000 cases & Bills rejected by DDO which could not be processed: 2 files having 5000 cases in each of them.
ii. Nadia – wrong Data (3 files rejected by DDO not resolved)
iii. Coochbehar- wrong xml file. (this is not encountered in any other district)
iv. Jalpaiguri – 7 files cannot be processed – 4 files are missing in IFMS and 3 files are objected by DDO for which resubmission is not possible.
v. Howrah – wrong data files with no option for regeneration.
vi. Bankura – Rejected bill – no option for resubmission
vii. Purba Medinipur-Wrong data : difficulty in resubmission.
ix. Dakshin Dinajpur: difficulty with 4 files.

Cases which can be resolved Internally will be taken care of by NIC and the cases for which calls are logged with IFMS will be taken up with the IFMS Team.

3. Districts are directed to send the UCs and fund requirements immediately after disbursement.

4. Some technical problems has been reported by some districts, like Kalimpong, Maldah, Uttar Dinajpur, Dakshin Dinajppur, South 24 Parganas, Bankura etc which will be taken care of with the help of NIC.
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